

# **Peloton: Learning Through Leadership**

Diego Salvatierra & Nina Slote

Master's Project for Learning, Design, & Technology

Stanford University

August 16, 2018

## **ABSTRACT**

Our Master's Project, Peloton, is a teamwork tool for the classroom. It takes the shape of a web application that connects to Google Classroom. The app targets two specific learning problems; 1. Students with low status characteristics learn less in group projects because they do not participate as much and thus develop lower self-efficacy and 2. Students lack opportunities to learn, receive feedback around, and improve upon social-emotional learning (SEL) skills. The former learning problem is important to mitigate the gap in learning outcomes between different groups of students that plagues classrooms. The second learning problem is widely recognized amongst educators, but lacks adequate solutions. Our specific learner demographic is high school students in traditional classrooms. One unique challenge of designing for this specific learner is that they have had years to develop negative self-perceptions, and therefore require repeated mindset interventions to undo the way they view themselves as learners. Our general approach includes assigning competencies to students so they view themselves as experts, and relying on the protégé effect so that students build confidence and skills by teaching others. User testing with students reveals that Peloton does indeed facilitate students in giving meaningful feedback to peers around SEL; further testing will examine the long-term effect of using the app with students.

Link to a shareable mockup of our final product [here](#) and working prototype [here](#).

## **CHALLENGE**

Peloton aims to teach high school students give feedback to peers on social-emotional skills, thus allowing them to learn from each other and gain self-efficacy and confidence through peer teaching.

There are two major learning challenges that Peloton seeks to address. For one, there is a lack of opportunity for students to engage in social and emotional learning (SEL) in traditional classrooms. Secondly, status differences amongst students working in a group affects learning outcomes.

### **Challenge One: Students Lack Opportunities to Develop Social and Emotional Skills**

During user testing, a particular moment elucidated the need for students to learn and appreciate social and emotional skills. As a group of ninth-grade algebra students worked together on a problem involving rates of change, one young woman in the group struggled to understand how her peers came up with their answer. In defeat, she stated “I don’t get this - I’m stupid.” For the remainder of the time the group worked together, this student disengaged from the work and when asked how her group came to their answer, was not able to demonstrate her learning. This experience told us that her self-perception as a student was completely wrapped up in following the content of the group work rather than other skills such as organization or leadership that she brought to the team. Peloton aims to intervene in that way of thinking by offering regular feedback on and engagement with social-emotional learning. By integrating Peloton into the classroom, students might internalize the idea that SEL skills are as important as acquiring content knowledge.

Research shows that many of students lack social-emotional competencies. In a national sample of 148,189 sixth to twelfth graders, for example, only 29% to 45% of surveyed students reported that they had social competencies such as empathy, decision making, and conflict resolution skills (Durlak et al., 2011, p. 405). Social and emotional learning programs, however, have the capacity to improve students’ social and emotional skills, attitudes, behavior, and academic performance. In a meta-analysis of 213 SEL programs, students who participated reflected an 11-percentile-point gain in achievement in those categories (Durlak et al., 2011, p. 405).

Furthermore, research by Abner et al. (2011) suggests that effective SEL programs may be the key to effective low-income schools. Once there is trust between students and adults in the school building, then behavior can improve and learning can flourish. Students in schools at the the Knowledge is Power Program (KIPP), for example, are

taught SEL and show higher graduation rates and standardized test scores than students from similar backgrounds. Despite the promise of SEL to teach all students skills that will allow them to succeed in the modern economy, there continues to be an emphasis on teaching and testing for high-stakes standardized tests over “soft skills” that will benefit students in the long-run. As Abner et al. state:

But despite their importance to education, employment, and family life, the major educational and school reforms of the K–12 system over the last few decades have not focused sufficiently on the socio-emotional factors that are crucial to learning. Though most teachers believe that schools have a fundamental responsibility to educate the whole child, education policy has focused disproportionately on high-stakes accountability strategies based on results from standardized academic achievement tests. We believe that the education gap can’t be closed unless and until schools commit to and become skilled at educating the whole child (2011).

Data from teachers we surveyed also supported the idea that SEL training is missing for students and difficult for teachers to manage. Of the 55 teachers we surveyed, 87% said that they have social-emotional goals for their students. This indicates that most teachers see SEL as an important part of their classrooms. At the same time, however, 60% of those teachers primarily use observation as the main way of assessing SEL in their classroom. This indicates that the majority of teachers use an informal method of capturing what they consider to be important learning goals in their classrooms. Furthermore, the same survey asked teachers to rate their comfort with assessing SEL in their classes, and 55% of respondents reported feeling “somewhat comfortable” with that process. This tells us that there is room to grow to help teachers better measure SEL outcomes (see Appendix A for the full teacher survey).

Peloton therefore aims to fill the gap of social and emotional learning for high school students by easily integrating into existing classroom technology and practices.

## **Challenge Two: Status Differences Amongst Group Members Affects Learning Outcomes**

Research shows that collaborative group work can provide a fertile environment for learning when certain conditions are met (Barron, 2003, p. 307). However, there is often a power imbalance observed in group dynamics that privileges certain voices more than others based on status characteristics such as language proficiency (Cohen et al., 1999, p. 80).

One of the authors saw this dynamic play out in her five years of teaching high school science in urban public schools with a significant English Language Learner (ELL) population: when she assigned group work, native English speakers often dominated the dialogue, had more practice with academic language, and therefore gained greater understanding of material as compared to their peers with lower levels of English proficiency. This classroom dynamic contributes to a persistent achievement gap between English Language Learners and their native English-speaking peers, and furthermore, may affect their self-efficacy in the long-run (Cohen et al., 1999, p. 83).

Peloton is designed to level the playing field in group work by granting all students in a group work context an area of expertise.

## **LEARNING**

### **Learning outcomes**

We want students to learn to see themselves as contributors to a team. The post-intervention learner will be able to identify an area of confidence and help their team grow in that area by giving praise and useful feedback and listening to their peers' contributions. This learning outcome has been redefined over the course of the quarter. We shifted our student learning objectives from focusing on skills to students' attitudes, thinking about how might we help students recognize their abilities to participate even when they don't consider themselves content experts.

### **Approach to learning**

As we iterated, improved, and added to our design this quarter, we identified several ideas rooted in educational research that proved valuable to grounding our approach. The main ones are as follows:

#### **1. Assigning competence (Rachel Lotan)**

Our project focuses on learners in high school and middle school. We specifically want to help students from low-status demographic and socio-economic groups, or students who generally lack self-confidence and a sense of belonging in the classroom. This is why our project focuses on “**assigning competence**,” or giving students a role and authority in some specific skill area.

This idea of assigning competence has its root in the writings of Elizabeth Cohen and Rachel Lotan and others, who developed the theory of “complex instruction.” Cohen and Lotan propose assigning competence as an intervention “to boost the participation of a low-status student” (Cohen & Lotan, 1988). This works both through raising the students' confidence in his or herself as well as through raising the expectations others have of them. Cohen and Lotan have conducted research in classrooms in support of this idea (Cohen, 1988; Cohen & Lotan, 1995).


#### **2. Protégé Effect (Chase et al.)**

The protégé effect is when a learner has the responsibility to teach others. It has been proven that this responsibility helps improve learning outcomes through building motivation and a growth mindset (Chase et al., 2009). In Chase et al.'s 2009 study

comparing two groups eighth-grade biology students, students who believed they were learning in order to teach a Teachable Agent in a program called Betty's Brain ended up spending more time learning and learned more than students who believed they were learning just for themselves (Chase et al., 2009). While Peloton does not utilize Teachable Agents to motivate student learning, the app is designed to empower students as peer teachers and therefore motivate them to better internalize SEL skills than they would if they believed they were just learning material for themselves.

### **3. Elements of motivation (BJ Fogg)**

Another learning principle relevant to Peloton is BJ Fogg's **elements of motivation** within the FBM (Fogg Behavior Model). In his article "A Behavior Model for Persuasive Design," Fogg lays out three major elements of motivation: pleasure/ pain, hope/ fear, and social acceptance/ rejection (2009, p. 4). Our design works within the latter framework of motivation, as students showed that they were more motivated to work in groups with the use of Peloton because they knew they were being directly observed. As the screenshot below demonstrates, students are each assigned one particular student to observe. For this reason, students are more accountable for their actions because they are given feedback by a group member in front of the group. In one conversation during user testing with a 9th grade algebra student, for example, he told us that he was "less likely to slack off knowing that other students were paying attention to him". This indicated to us that he was trying to avoid social rejection by being the student in the group not following along or contributing. As Fogg states, "It's clear that people are motivated to do things that win them social acceptance. Perhaps even more dramatically, people are motivated to avoid being socially rejected" (2009, p. 4).



*Students are assigned one specific student to observe. User testing revealed that this design choice motivated students to stay engaged in group projects because they were held accountable by a partner in their group.*

#### 4. Optimizing for ability (BJ Fogg)

The third learning principle that influenced our design, **optimizing for ability**, also comes from BJ Fogg's FBM. To move users across the behavior activation threshold, Fogg suggests the following: "...to increase a user's ability, designers of persuasive experiences must make the behavior easier to do. In other words, persuasive design relies heavily on the power of simplicity" (2009, p. 5). This was an important principle for us to consider because Peloton is asking a lot of students: on top of completing an academic task, we are also asking them to assess and give feedback to a group member on their performance. To encourage students to utilize the app to its fullest potential and not cognitively overload, therefore, we designed for simplicity in several ways. For one, we aimed to always write student-friendly language that was accessible to most students regardless of English language proficiency. As shown in the screenshot below, the first iteration of our skills rubric was based on language from the Common Core State Standards. Students reported, however, that the text was too long and confusing to remember. In our second iteration of the rubric, therefore, we used lower-tier vocabulary, visuals, and shorter phrases in order to help students internalize the content more easily. This design change reduces the amount of time it takes students to read the rubric and how much hard thinking goes into that process, two



elements of simplicity that Fogg advocates for in optimizing for ability.

<b>At Standard</b>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>▶ is prepared and ready to work; is well informed on the project topic and cites evidence to probe and reflect on ideas with the team (CC 6-12.SL.1a)</li><li>▶ consistently uses technology tools as agreed upon by the team to communicate and manage project tasks</li><li>▶ does tasks without having to be reminded</li><li>▶ completes tasks on time</li><li>▶ uses feedback from others to improve work</li></ul>


*A first iteration of Peloton’s skills rubric included text that students found too long and confusing. We observed many students skipping reading the rubric.*

Work Ethic	Communication	Problem Solving
Maintains focus	Tracks the speaker	Clearly identifies problem
Follows directions	Speaks clearly	Listens to others’ ideas
Completes task on time	Explains thinking in a way that everyone can understand	Offers alternative solutions
		

*In our second iteration of the rubric, we used lower-tier vocabulary, visuals, and shorter phrases in order to help students internalize the content more easily.*

## 5. Wise interventions (Cohen & Garcia)


The fourth principle of learning design that inspired our project is Cohen & Garcia's notion of **wise interventions**. Their 2014 article "Educational Theory, Practice, and Policy and the Wisdom of Social Psychology" builds on previous work around the power of perception in the classroom. If a student perceives his or her intelligence as malleable, for example, they are more likely to deal with mistakes constructively versus if they view their intelligence as fixed (Cohen & Garcia, 2014, p. 13). Of the interventions that the authors review, all of them involve changing how students view their environments. If students learn that setbacks are normal or that their intelligence is malleable, for example, they are more likely to change the process by which they learn. With that in mind, Peloton aims to intervene in student's learning and assessment experiences in several ways. For one, students are being assessed on social emotional skills that are not typically measured in typical summative assessments. This signals to them that these soft skills are as important to their overall learning as mastering content-based skills. In addition, students are framed as the experts in Peloton. They are the ones deemed an expert in some category, then have the responsibility of reporting feedback based on a rubric. This reverses the role of typical classroom assessments, in which the teacher is the sole expert offering feedback to students. Lastly, most classroom assessments are given individually and quantitatively. Students view their test score as summative and a judgement of their ability. The aim of Peloton is to offer students a more reflective space to gather meaningful qualitative data and therefore suggest specific, descriptive ways that they can improve their work rather than a number that judges their performance.




*The feedback that students get through Peloton differs from traditional classroom assessments in that it is qualitative, comes from peers, and assesses social-emotional learning. The aim of these design features is for students to alter the process by which they view assessments and the skills that are most valuable to possess.*

## **6. Triangle of acceptable play (Brian Upton)**

Lastly, our design was influenced by Brian Upton's **triangle of acceptable play experiences** (Upton, 2015, p. 69). According to this two-axis framework, there are two spectra to consider when designing games. One measures player boredom versus confusion, while the other measures frustration versus satisfaction. Upton posits that the ideal game experience for most players lies at the intersections of these spectrum. Peloton aims to lie at that intersection by offering students a choice in what feedback they offer to other students with an appropriate amount of constraint. As shown in the screenshot below, for example, students have a suggested sentence starter when giving feedback that they may choose to use and then are expected to develop the rest of the feedback using specific evidence from their work time. Regarding the frustration versus satisfaction element, future user testing aims to determine how students react to their growth with Peloton over time.

 Glow  
I noticed that you...

 Grow  
I wonder if next time you might try

Submit

*Scaffolds such as sentence starters aim to offer both clarity around expectations and the freedom for students to come up with their own original feedback. This aligns with Upton's framework of aiming to design game experiences that neither overconstrain players nor leave them confused.*

## DESIGN OF THE LEARNING EXPERIENCE

### Existing solutions

#### *Existing Teamwork Tools*

There are many solutions that have been developed to facilitate teamwork interactions, many of them in the project-based learning context. One such example is [Project Pals](#), a platform where teachers can assign teams and tasks and visualize project teams' progress, and where students can publish and share the files their team is working on.

What we find lacking in initiatives like these are tools that actively push students to interact in a team, or that work to feel students valued within a team.

One of the many features of [Google Classroom](#) allows students to share and comment on each other's work in a similar way to using Google Docs. This platform is a powerful way for students to connect as they work in real-time and point to specific areas in their peer's work where they would like to offer feedback. However, there is no way for students to track the feedback they receive over time or scaffolds for students to offer feedback. Peloton builds upon Google Classroom's feedback feature by offering an accessible rubric and structure for writing feedback. In addition, Peloton offers students a way to deliver feedback to peers through both writing and speaking, thus creating opportunity for dialogue and practice giving feedback orally.

#### *Existing Social and Emotional Learning Tools*

[ClassDojo's](#) popularity makes it one of the most impactful classroom tools available to help students engage in social and emotional learning: according to their website, ClassDojo is used in 90% of K-8 classrooms in the US. With the ClassDojo app, teachers select positive class values such as perseverance or teamwork, and award students 'Dojo Points' when they exhibit behavior that reflects those values. Teachers also connect with students' families to share updates and create classroom communities, and assign avatars to students called 'Dojo monsters' that show students where they have gained and lost points. One pain point of ClassDojo for teachers is how time-intensive it can be to enter and track students' behavioral data. By relying on peer feedback, Peloton takes the burden off the teacher to be tracking every students' behavior. In addition, Peloton is designed for high school students who might find monster avatars infantilizing.


Augmented reality (AR) and virtual reality (VR) also offer social and emotional learning to learners of all ages. Emoti, a VR mindfulness exercise, exemplified one such tool. Students wearing a VR headset gain instruction in mindfulness techniques. As they engage in various simulations, biometrics offer feedback based on a student's stress level. Tools such as Emoti have great potential to influence student behavior and SEL in the classroom and take the burden off of teachers to provide feedback to each individual student. AR and VR, however, are still both in their infancy and require expensive technology that is not realistic to have in many classrooms. According to Fast Company, for example, one Oculus Rift headset costs \$600 and a computer to run it costs between \$1000 and \$2000 (Montgomery, 2016). In addition to being an app that is compatible with technology already present in many schools, Peloton is also a joint engagement technology that fosters human connection between students rather than isolation from student's surroundings.

Each of these tools inspires and their success offers proof of concept for Peloton. Peloton stands out by offering learners both the structure for collaborative learning and social-emotional learning in one platform. For more analysis on how Peloton compares to existing solutions, see Appendix B.

## Key features & design walkthrough


Our instructional strategy is for students to learn to see themselves as a valuable part of a team. We do this by teaching them to give feedback to other students in their team on a soft skill or teamwork skill in which they feel relatively confident in. This is achieved through the following sequence of activities:

1. *Teachers log-in using their Google Classroom accounts.*




The image shows a screenshot of a web browser displaying the Peloton app website. The website has a yellow background and features the Peloton logo (a blue starburst) and the text "Learning Through Leadership". Below this, there is a video player showing a group of students working together, with the text "Teachers can keep track of students' teamwork" overlaid. To the right of the video player, there is a "Sign in with Google" prompt. The prompt asks for access to the Google Account "diegosalva.ds@gmail.com" and lists the permissions: "View your Google Classroom classes", "View your Google Classroom class rosters", "View the profile photos of people in your classes", and "View the email addresses of people in your classes". There are "CANCEL" and "ALLOW" buttons at the bottom of the prompt.

2. *Teacher adds a new project.*



3. *Teacher selects the social-emotional skills students will need in the project, choosing from a list of 25 skills identified by the Collaborative for Academic, Social, and Emotional Learning (CASEL), an organization that studies social-emotional learning.*



4. The teacher then invites student teams to join the newly-created project.

The screenshot shows the Peloton Teacher Dashboard for a project named "SHAKESPEARE". The page includes a navigation bar with "PROJECTS", "SKILLS", "ABOUT US", and "LOGOUT". Below the navigation bar, the class name "Class: Mr. Salva's Class" is displayed. The main content area is divided into three sections:

- Project students:** A table with two columns: "Students" and "Team". The "Students" column lists "Diego Salvatierra" and "Nina Sote". The "Team" column lists "Team Hamlet". An "Add team" button is located below the table.
- Select student growth chart:** A section for "Diego Salvatierra" showing a radar chart with six axes: "Self-efficacy", "Teamwork", "Communication", "Stress management", "Respect for others", and "Recognizing strengths". A message states: "The student haven't completed the assessment yet".
- Student answers:** A list of assessment items: "Self-efficacy", "Teamwork", "Communication", "Stress management", "Respect for others", and "Recognizing strengths".

5. Students log-in by selecting "work on a project." The newly created project will appear with a "new" tag.


The first screenshot shows the Peloton Student Dashboard. The page features a header with the Peloton logo and navigation links for "ABOUT US" and "For Teachers". The main content area is titled "Learning Through Leadership" and includes a video player with the text "Teachers can keep track of students' teamwork". Below the video, there are two buttons: "Work on a project" and "Learn more".

The second screenshot shows the "My Projects" section of the Peloton Student Dashboard. The page is titled "My Projects" and includes a greeting: "Hello Diego. Click on a project to join or view details". Below the greeting, there are three project cards:


- Marquez:** A "new" tag is present above the card. The project is titled "Team 100 Years of Solitude" and lists students: "Diego Salvatierra", "Nina Sote", and "Diego Salvatierra".
- Dickens:** The project is titled "Team Great Expectations" and lists students: "Diego Salvatierra", "Diego Salvatierra", and "Nina Sote".
- Tolstoy:** The project is titled "Team War & Peace" and lists students: "Diego Salvatierra", "Nina Sote", and "Diego Salvatierra".




6. Once the project is launched, students have a chance to review the rubric for the set of skills selected by their teacher.




7. Students self-assess themselves on this set of soft skills and teamwork skills.




8. Based on this self-assessment, students view the relative confidence levels of each team member, and see that they have been assigned to be “team lead” on the skill that they feel the most confident in. From this page, students can launch work sessions where they give each other feedback on their assigned lead skills.




9. When students launch a work session, they are given a set amount of time, set by the teacher, to work on a class project. Once this time is up, they pause to write down notes on the teammate they will be giving feedback to.



10. After several cycles of work and note-taking (defined by the teacher on their dashboard), students have a chance to summarize their notes into a “glow” and “grow” feedback message to be sent to their teammate and teacher.



11. To promote reflection, students and teachers can also review the history of past comments they have sent and received.



## Technical development details

We designed the application on Figma, a powerful cloud-based tool for digital mockups and clickable prototypes. We then used the UpWork freelancer platform to hire a programmer, Muhammad Althaf, to build up the functioning application. Muhammad is a web developer based in India who is very well evaluated and passionate about contributing to a project with an education focus. He was selected out of 23 applicants for our job-posting, based on his knowledge of web application frameworks and the

genuine interest he showed in the project in a cover letter we requested. Muhammad developed both the backend data models for the application, as well as the front-end based on our Figma design.

We asked Muhammad to integrate the web application with the Google Classroom API, which allows teachers to sign-in using their Google Classroom accounts. This makes the platform very user-friendly, as teachers will find all of their students already loaded onto it. Muhammad also worked with us to program the algorithm that assigns students to team lead and observer roles. In this algorithm, student scores are normalized and ranked so that even students with low self-assessments will have one skill in which to be assigned as team lead.

The application is built using the MEVN stack, a common full-stack web development framework. MEVN uses MongoDB and Express.js for the back-end, Node.js for managing dependencies, and Vue.js for the front-end. The project is hosted on DigitalOcean, which provided affordable cloud-based servers to keep the project running into the future as we carry out more user testing.

We presented at the LDT 2018 Expo with a beta version at pelotonapp.org, with which we carried out a live demo. Following Expo, we are working on fixing a series of bugs and design flaws we identified during the live demo. These included fixing a log-out error that made students automatically log-out during work sessions, adding a warning if students try to navigate away from a work session, and fix the algorithm for assigning students to observe, which occasionally led a student to be assigned to observe him or herself. Based on expo feedback, we are adding a screen for teachers to view past comments students have given each other.

## **EVIDENCE OF SUCCESS**

### **Insights from Student User Testing**

Initial user testing focused on usability for high school students, our target learners. Below is a description of our user testing and key insights.

We carried out user testing at several schools in the Bay Area. On May 17th we visited a school in San Francisco belonging to the KIPP network of charter schools. We were in a high school chemistry class, where students were working in teams. One team of students used our Figma prototype, complemented by

worksheets that simulated the app's functionality. The three students went through the soft skills self-evaluation in our app, and then had a work session in which they worked on their regular classwork, while writing down feedback to give each other.

After they gave each other their feedback and finished the app's cycle, we had a follow-up interview with the students to get their opinion on what could be improved and what worked well. From the students' feedback and our observation, we made the following major changes:

1. Added prompts to guide students on how much feedback to give.
2. Added sentence-starters to help students give more concrete feedback with examples.
3. Simplified the language in the skills rubric
4. Improved the UI for self-assessing skills: changed the position of explanatory box that reminds students of the description of each skill
5. Created a "past comments" page where students have a list of all comments they have received from peers in prior projects

We then carried out another session of user testing on May 23rd, at the same high school in Daly City where we had ran our pre-learning-objectives session. The testing session was similar, with some changes incorporated, at a mathematics class. This led us to consider the following changes:

1. Keep the feedback sent to peers and teachers the same, as students said this would avoid bullying.
2. Give more instructions on feedback timing, or give instructions when students finish, as some students finished writing earlier than others.
3. Add more guidance and instructions in general, perhaps a few introductory screens.

There were some differences between students who wanted more detail in the rubric versus students who wanted a simpler one.

We also conducted A/B testing with online self-assessment surveys to determine whether the self-assessment in the app should be a multiple-choice Likert scale or a draggable scroll bar. According to the data we collected, there was more variance between answers we tested with the 7-point Likert scale. We therefore selected that number for our design because the more variance between respondents, the more accurately we could select student's level of expertise.

## **Insights from Teacher Surveys**

We conducted interviews with five current classroom teachers and administrators who teach in a variety of settings, such as urban, suburban, public, and charter schools. Overall, they were receptive to the idea of having a formal tool to capture SEL in their classrooms.

One major insight we gleaned from these conversations is that teachers find creating their own social-emotional learning rubrics to be difficult and time-consuming. One teacher reported that she had difficulty determining what specific actions she should include in her rubrics. Since we want to alleviate teacher's work rather than add to their workload, we therefore created a rubric using standards developed by the Cooperative for Academic, Social, and Emotional Learning (CASEL), an organization focused on SEL. Teachers can opt to use these CASEL skills when they were selecting skills, or add their own. We also worked with a high school guidance counselor to review our rubric and provide input.

In addition, teachers reported that they would want Peloton to work with a variety of types of projects. One teacher we spoke with, for example, usually ran chemistry labs that lasted for one or two class periods and switched up partners for each of those projects. Another teacher we met with, on the other hand, kept groups consistent for longer periods of time and worked in a project-based school where projects lasted two to six weeks. In order to make Peloton work for these different use cases, we added a feature where teachers select the length of time each work session will last and the number of work loops they would like students to engage with.

## **Insights from LDT Expo**

LDT Expo included a 20-minute oral presentation, 25-minute Q & A with expert panelists, and a 2-hour live demo of our beta version. We captured feedback through our recorded presentation, writing, and instant feedback during the demo.

Major strengths that expert panelists and demo participants reported included:

- Grounding our idea in both practice and research
- Focusing our design on usability for teachers (i.e. integrating with Google Classroom API)

- The ability for teachers to customize their projects is key for this to be truly content agnostic and to function in a variety of schools

Major areas for improvement that we heard included:

- Considering changing the name Peloton to avoid copyright issues with the biking company Peloton
- Considering the type of classroom culture that would have to exist for this app to exist, and what we can do to support teachers in creating this environment with students
- Allowing students to select the SEL skills that they would like to work on in order to give student more autonomy over their learning
- Adding the ability for teachers to track student's progress on certain goals over the semester/ year through their self-assessment results

## **CONCLUSIONS AND NEXT STEPS**

### **Next design steps**

Now that we have completed programming of a basic beta version of the app, we are currently working on the following design changes:

1. Improve the flow in the early pages/sign-in section/launch project section
  - a. This could be achieved with additional explanation screens, and/or by changing the flow of registration activities
  - b. Several people specifically highlighted the need for an additional screen after project launch and before the rubric, giving an overview of the skills and the process to come.
2. Add more friendly and celebratory components in certain parts of the project.
  - a. For example, when competence is assigned (i.e. when students are assigned to be team leads on a skill and observe another student), there could be confetti images.
  - b. Make the insertion of emojis and other friendly items easier in feedback.
3. Think about how students will stay motivated throughout the use of the application. Ask teachers how they would frame the task of using Peloton.
  - a. Carry out more user research with teachers.

### **Future work**

We plan to continue this project by keeping the app online for teachers to access, for which we have reserved a portion of our funding to pay for ongoing server fees. This will allow us to continue to test the application and make modifications based on how teachers react and what feedback they give us. We also plan to translate the application to Spanish for use with learners in Chile—the home country of one of the authors—and English Language Learners (ELLs) in the United States. We believe ELL students are particularly vulnerable to feelings of low-self esteem and being left out of groups, which is why we want to make this product accessible to them.

We hope to continue to improve Peloton in the future. That way, we will help students in real classrooms learn about their social-emotional skill strengths, feel included in their teams, and help their teachers see teamwork in action.



## REFERENCES

- Abner, L., Butler, S., Danziger, S., Doar, R., Ellwood, D., Gueron, J., & Waldfogel, J. (2015). Opportunity, responsibility, and security: A consensus plan for reducing poverty and restoring the American dream. Washington, DC: American Enterprise Institute for Public Policy Research/Brookings Institution.
- Barron, B. (2003). When Smart Groups Fail. *Journal of the Learning Sciences*, 12, 07-359. Retrieved from [https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1207/S15327809JLS1203\\_1](https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1207/S15327809JLS1203_1)
- Chase, C. C., Chin, D. B., Opezzo, M. A., & Schwartz, D. L. (2009). Teachable agents and the protégé effect: Increasing the effort towards learning. *Journal of Science Education and Technology*, 18 (4), 334-352.
- Clark, R. E., Feldon, D., van Merriënboer, J. J. G., Yates, K., and Early, S. (2008). Cognitive task analysis. In J. M. Spector, M. D. Merrill, J. J. G. van Merriënboer, & M. P. Driscoll (Eds.).
- Cohen, E. G., & Lotan, R. A. (2014). *Designing Groupwork: Strategies for the Heterogeneous Classroom Third Edition*. Teachers College Press.
- Cohen, E. G. (1988, July). Producing equal status behavior in cooperative learning. In *meeting of the International Association for the Study of Cooperation in Education. Shefayim, Israel*.
- Cohen, E. G., & Lotan, R. A. (1995). Producing equal-status interaction in the heterogeneous classroom. *American educational research journal*, 32(1), 99-120.
- Cohen, E.G., Lotan, R.A., Scarloss, B.A., & Arellano, A.R. (1999). Complex instruction: Equity in cooperative learning classrooms. *Theory into Practice*, 38, 80-86. Retrieved from <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/00405849909543836>

- Durlak, J. A., Weissberg, R. P., Dymnicki, A. B., Taylor, R. D., & Schellinger, K. B. (2011). The impact of enhancing students' social and emotional learning: A meta-analysis of school-based universal interventions. *Child development*, 82(1), 405-432.
- Fogg, B. J. (2009, April). A behavior model for persuasive design. In *Proceedings of the 4th international Conference on Persuasive Technology* (p. 40). ACM.
- Montgomery, B. (2016, August). Stanford Experiments with Virtual Reality, Social-Emotional Learning and Oculus Rift.  
[https://www.edsurge.com/news/2016-08-16-stanford-experiments-with-virtual-reality-social-emotional-learning-and-oculus-rift?mc\\_uid=464dab27df706ecfde419c3ab6e35762&utm\\_source=EdsurgeLive&utm\\_campaign=4d2abb1694-EdSurge\\_Innovate\\_288\\_A\\_B\\_Test\\_8\\_17\\_2](https://www.edsurge.com/news/2016-08-16-stanford-experiments-with-virtual-reality-social-emotional-learning-and-oculus-rift?mc_uid=464dab27df706ecfde419c3ab6e35762&utm_source=EdsurgeLive&utm_campaign=4d2abb1694-EdSurge_Innovate_288_A_B_Test_8_17_2).
- Upton, B. (2015). *The aesthetic of play*. MIT Press.

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

This project would not be possible without the support of several other people. Wenyan Hua, also in the Learning, Design, & Technology program at Stanford, has been an invaluable thought partner and helped us design the work sessions component. Shelley Goldman, Diego's academic adviser, provided useful feedback and connected us with the Project-Based Learning Conference at Santa Clara University, where teachers gave us feedback on our ideas. Jennifer Langer-Osuna, Nina's academic advisor, has been helpful in sharing her expertise in collaborative learning. Muhammad Althaf, our India-based programmer, was pivotal in bringing Peloton to life. We are also grateful to teachers Patricia H., Fred W., and Bijan V. and their students for sharing their insights and opening their classrooms to us for user testing. Thank you also to Mr. Reece Duca and members of the TELOS initiative for their support in helping our project come to life. Lastly, we would like to thank the staff at the LDT program at Stanford, including Karin Forssell, Soren Rousseau, and Keith Bowen, for their feedback and ideas for how to move forward with the project.

**Appendix A**  
**Teacher-Facing Survey**

**Stanford**

What is your year of birth?

To which gender identity do you most identify?

- Male
- Female
- Gender Variant/ Non-Conforming
- Not Listed
- Prefer Not to Answer

For how many years total have you taught?

In which state do you currently teach?

---

What grades do you currently teach? Check all that apply.

- |                                       |                               |
|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Kindergarten | <input type="checkbox"/> 7th  |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 1st          | <input type="checkbox"/> 8th  |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 2nd          | <input type="checkbox"/> 9th  |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 3rd          | <input type="checkbox"/> 10th |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 4th          | <input type="checkbox"/> 11th |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 5th          | <input type="checkbox"/> 12th |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 6th          |                               |

---

Which subjects do you currently teach? Check all that apply.

- English Language Arts
- History/ Social Studies
- Math
- Science
- Foreign Language
- Fine Arts
- Other

---

Is the school in which you teach Title I eligible?

- Yes
  - No
  - Not sure
-

---

How would you best describe the setting in which you teach?

- Urban
- Suburban
- Rural

---

How would you best describe the school in which you teach? Check all that apply.

- Public
- Private
- Charter
- Parochial

---

What types of digital technology are available for students in your classroom to use? Check all that apply.

- Chromebooks
  - Laptops
  - Desktop computers
  - Tablets (i.e. iPads)
  - Smartboards
  - Other:
-

---

In your most recent month of teaching, how often did students in your classroom have the opportunity to use one of the digital technologies listed in the previous question?

- Daily
- 3-4 times a week
- 1-2 times a week
- Once a week
- Less than once per week
- Never

---

Do you use Google Classroom?

- Yes
- No

---

What does social and emotional learning mean to you?

---

Do you have social and emotional goals for the students in your class? (i.e. goals for skills that are not directly related to academic content?)

- Yes
- No

Please sort the following competencies into whether you see them as a high priority, medium priority, or low priority for students to develop in your classroom.

<b>Items</b>	<b>High Priority</b>	<b>Medium Priority</b>
Identifying emotions		
Accurate self-perception		
Recognizing strengths		
Self-confidence		
Self-efficacy		
Impulse control		
Stress management	<b>Low Priority</b>	
Self-discipline		
Self-motivation		
Goal-setting		
Organizational Skills		
Perspective-taking		
Empathy		
Appreciating diversity		
Respect for others		
Communication		
Social engagement		
Relationship-building		
Teamwork		
Identifying problems		
Analyzing situations		
Solving problems		
Reflecting		



What opportunity do students have for collaborative work in your class? Check all that apply.

- Group projects
  - Turn and talks
  - Peer feedback sessions
  - Socratic seminars
  - Other(s)
- 

What type of assessments do you use in your classroom? Check all that apply.

- Quizzes/ tests
  - Essays
  - Exit tickets
  - Oral presentations
  - Portfolios
  - Performance tasks
  - Peer evaluations
  - Self evaluations
  - Other(s):
- 

What do you use to assess students' social and emotional learning? Check all that apply.

- Apps (i.e. ClassDojo)
- Trackers
- Observation
- Other(s)

## Appendix B

### More on existing solutions

There exist other applications and software for social emotional learning in teams. However, Peloton combines several features that none of these other applications have. These features are summarized in the chart below. Other products for Social-Emotional Learning in classroom include:

**Panorama Education:** Gives schools self-assessment questionnaires for students to measure Social-Emotional Learning

**Project Pals:** Platform for Project-Based Learning (PBL) that includes teamwork tracking and assignment of project roles

**Class Dojo:** Classroom communication app; includes the ability for teachers to give feedback to students on certain teamwork skills

			 ClassDojo	
SEL self-assessment	✓	✗	✗	✓
Feedback	✗	✗	✓	✓
Teacher tracking	✓	✓	✓	✓
Role assignment	✗	✓	✗	✓
Digitized	✓	✓	✓	✓

---

Peloton's features are present in some of these alternatives, but none of them combines them all. These features include social-emotional skills self-assessment by students, the ability to give and track feedback, and role assignment within teams.